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# Introduction

## Road Safety Auditors

## Audit Dates

# Methodology

## General

## The Process

# Background Information

## Site Context

## Traffic Volumes

## Crash Data

## Posted Speed Limit

# Audit Findings and Recommendations

## Priority Rating

The findings of the audit, along with comments and recommendations are to reflect a priority rating for each identified issue as per the below.

The priority ratings that follow are derived from the AGRS Part 6 as per the below figures:

* Negligible – no action required
* Low – Should be corrected or the risk reduced if the treatment cost is low
* Medium – Should be corrected or the risk significantly reduced, if the treatment cost is moderate, but not high
* High – should be corrected or the risk significantly reduced, even if the treatment cost is high
* Extreme – must be corrected regardless of cost.
* Comment

**Austroads RSA risk matrix**

**Severity guidance sheet – to be used with the above risk matrix**



## Status of Plans

## 

## Findings and Recommendations

| Item | Audit Findings | Recommendations | Priority Rating | Designer’s Response | DIPL Agreed  Y/N | DIPL Response |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | **Geometry** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | **Road Surface** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | **Line Marking** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | **Signage** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | **Verges** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | **Lighting** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | **Landscaping** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7C |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Concluding Statement

# Appendix A

# Appendix B